The Infrastructure Investment Landscape: Evolution, Growth and Opportunities

Over the past decade, the need for higher levels of infrastructure spending in developed nations has become increasingly apparent. Investment in infrastructure as a percentage of GDP has been on the decline in many countries. This “infrastructure gap” is well documented; studies have shown that, over the next two decades, developed nations will require a substantial investment in infrastructure to support and promote economic growth.

In assessing the global need for new or improved infrastructure, and the enormous amount of capital required to fund these initiatives, we believe there is a compelling opportunity for private investors with a long-term horizon.

Q HOW HAS THE MARKET FOR INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTING EVOLVED?

The market has become more established, with a larger amount of infrastructure assets in the hands of private investors than ever before. Advancing technologies (e.g., renewable energy, fiber optics), changing consumer behavior (e.g., the cloud, Internet of Things, etc.) and evolving revenue models (e.g., video on demand) have spurred new investment opportunities in infrastructure for private investors, including data centers, managed lanes and LNG. In addition, the ongoing global population migration from rural to urban communities is fueling modernization/urbanization trends and increasing pressure on municipal infrastructure around the world.

Looking back over the past decade, several notable developments have fundamentally altered the infrastructure landscape. In the wake of the Global Financial Crisis (which exacerbated longer term public funding imbalances), fiscal pressures caused many governments to further reduce infrastructure spending as a percentage of GDP. At the same time, regulatory capital requirements have increased, causing many banks to curtail their long-term financing activities. Due in part to the financial crisis, many investors began diversifying their portfolios by reducing exposure to traditional stocks and bonds while increasing allocations to alternative investments. Finally, persistently low global interest rates have spurred investors to seek alternative sources of yield with as low a risk profile as possible. Thus, the current environment is one in which infrastructure projects have become more dependent upon the private sector for funding. Institutional investors and their consultants have embraced infrastructure as a distinct asset class they believe can generate attractive risk-adjusted returns and provide portfolio diversification benefits. Compared to many other asset classes, however, infrastructure is still in its relative infancy.

As shown in Figure 1 (next page), the global private infrastructure market has experienced robust growth since 2007. However, infrastructure continues to represent a relatively small portion of investors’ portfolios, and we believe there is substantial room for further growth.
WHAT IS DRIVING THE INCREASED DEMAND FOR INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS?

A number of factors may account for this heightened interest. Despite the growing acceptance of infrastructure as an asset class, relative to private equity and real estate, fewer investors have historically made allocations to infrastructure. Furthermore, of those that have elected to make allocations, a large percentage of infrastructure investors remain below their targets.

Another factor driving this growth is the extraordinarily low level of interest rates since the Global Financial Crisis, which has caused many traditional fixed income investors to look elsewhere in search of long duration assets with enhanced cash yields.

Finally, infrastructure investors have generally been pleased with performance and have enjoyed record levels of distributions in recent years. In order to maintain or increase their exposure, investors have been redeploying these distributions, causing infrastructure managers to hold record levels of dry powder. As such, the demand for infrastructure is not surprising and, we believe, this trend will continue as investors move closer to target allocation levels and/or increase their current targets.

WHAT ARE THE KEY CHARACTERISTICS OF INFRASTRUCTURE THAT ARE DRAWING INVESTORS TO THE ASSET CLASS?

Infrastructure is not a homogenous asset class; there are a variety of assets with a broad spectrum of risk/return profiles. However, some characteristics are common to most infrastructure assets.

Infrastructure often represents an essential asset or the provision of an essential service. These assets may be characterized by high barriers to entry and frequently operate under a regulatory environment with some form of market power. Given the essential service nature of many infrastructure assets, they typically face somewhat inelastic demand and may be relatively insensitive to the general level of economic activity. As a result, they tend to be lowly correlated to other risk assets, making them efficient portfolio risk diversifiers.

Infrastructure assets typically have long duration, which appeals to a broad range of institutional investors, including pension funds, insurance companies and sovereign wealth funds that have long-term liability funding requirements. These assets are often characterized by relatively stable and predictable cash flows and, hence, are frequently viewed as proxies for fixed income investments.

However, unlike fixed income assets, infrastructure is generally positively correlated with inflation because infrastructure contracts commonly incorporate some type of inflation-linked provision. These assets may provide a hedge and mitigate downside risk in an inflationary environment.
HOW ARE INVESTORS CHOOSING TO ACCESS THE ASSET CLASS?

Investors may gain exposure to infrastructure through primary fund commitments (open and closed-end), direct investments, co-investments and/or limited partnership interests purchased on the secondary market. Primary fund commitments remain the most common path for most infrastructure investors. Those with more experience and larger amounts of capital may choose to supplement or replace fund commitments with co-investments or direct investments; we believe such investments, along with infrastructure debt, represent an effective means to mitigate blind pool risk and the J-curve effect.

Given the array of investment options and the knowledge required to source, diligence, build and monitor a portfolio of infrastructure investments, many elect to access the asset class through a diversified fund of funds structure. This single point of entry provides broadly diversified exposure across sectors, geographies, structures and vintage years. Investors may choose to invest in a commingled fund or select a manager to build a customized portfolio that is tailored to meet specific needs.

AFTER YEARS OF ACCOMMODATIVE MONETARY POLICIES, CENTRAL BANKS ARE BECOMING MORE RESTRICTIVE. WHAT IS THE LIKELY IMPACT OF HIGHER RATES ON INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS?

Unlike corporate or governments bonds with fixed coupons, the impact of higher rates on infrastructure investments is more nuanced and could be either positive or negative. Higher interest rates can impact both cash flows and the discount rate applied to those cash flows. The impact upon individual projects will be dependent, in part, upon whether financing is based upon fixed or floating rate debt or whether rate hedging programs have been put in place.

To the extent that higher interest rates are the result of inflationary pressures, the cash flow impact may be neutral or positive as projects often incorporate inflation-linked clauses allowing for higher costs to be passed through. Similarly, if higher interest rates are the result of stronger economic activity, this could also lead to higher cash flows for projects that are directly correlated to the level of economic growth. In these situations, larger cash flows may more than offset the impact of higher discount rates on valuations and, therefore, could be a net positive.

However, if interest rates were to rise dramatically, it is reasonable to expect markets will reprice. Infrastructure funds may choose to maintain some dry powder to be able to capitalize opportunistically on dislocations through purchases of infrastructure debt, secondary funds, or direct/co-investments as projects require financing or other investors are forced to seek liquidity.

WHERE IS GCM GROSVENOR FINDING THE MOST COMPELLING OPPORTUNITIES?

We generally, though not exclusively, focus on the middle market as valuations tend to be lower and competition for assets is less intense. The industry has become increasingly concentrated with a small number of mega funds accounting for a larger percentage of overall fundraising. Dry powder held by the largest funds has been increasing for the past few years, while smaller funds have experienced a decline in these levels. As such, we believe that valuations will remain more attractive in the middle market, where mega funds are less active.

Importantly, we are opportunistic investors seeking to leverage our platform to identify the most compelling opportunities. Consequently, we are not beholden to co-investing exclusively with GPs as we have developed an extensive network of corporate sponsors, developers and construction companies who provide us with unique and difficult to source deal flow. From a sector perspective, some of
our key areas of focus in the near term lie in power generation (conventional and renewable), communications infrastructure, social infrastructure and transportation. Finally, we believe the infrastructure debt market is becoming more interesting as banks continue to exit the sector given their increased capital requirements.

Note: Infrastructure investments are exposed to risks associated with changes in the availability or price of inputs necessary, including but not limited to, electricity and fuel, for the operation and/or construction of infrastructure assets as well as events outside the control of the investor. The operation and maintenance of infrastructure assets involve various risks and is subject to substantial regulation and such operation and maintenance may be delegated to a third party unaffiliated with the investment and the failure of such third-party to perform its duties could have an adverse impact on the investment. Infrastructure projects are especially sensitive to government regulations and such regulations may add additional costs that would be indirectly borne, in part, by investors.

ABOUT GCM GROSVENOR

With approximately $50 billion in assets under management, GCM Grosvenor is one of the largest, most diversified independent alternative asset management firms worldwide.

GCM Grosvenor has offered alternative investment solutions since 1971. The firm is headquartered in Chicago, with offices in New York, Los Angeles, London, Tokyo, Hong Kong and Seoul. GCM Grosvenor serves a global client base of institutional and high net worth investors.
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1. A McKinsey study in 2016 estimated US$3.3 trillion needs to be invested each year to 2030 in order to support current growth rates. This represents a current annual shortfall of approximately $800 billion. McKinsey Global Institute “Bridging Global Infrastructure Gaps” June 2016.


7. Preqin. p. 22. Dry powder held by mega funds (defined as >$2B in commitments) has increased each year since 2015 while dry powder held by funds <$1B in commitment dropped from 41% to 28% over the same time period.
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Investments in alternatives are speculative and involve substantial risk, including strategy risks, manager risks, market risks, and structural/operational risks, and may result in the possible loss of your entire investment. Past performance is not necessarily indicative of future results. The views expressed are for informational purposes only and are not intended to serve as a forecast, a guarantee of future results, investment recommendations or an offer to buy or sell securities by GCM Grosvenor. All expressions of opinion are subject to change without notice in reaction to shifting market, economic, or political conditions. The investment strategies mentioned are not personalized to your financial circumstances or investment objectives, and differences in account size, the timing of transactions and market conditions prevailing at the time of investment may lead to different results. Certain information included herein may have been provided by parties not affiliated with GCM Grosvenor. GCM Grosvenor has not independently verified such information and makes no representation or warranty as to its accuracy or completeness.